

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,401	03/25/2004	Keiji Komoto	03560.003435.	5560
5514	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	GOODROW, JOHN L		
30 ROCKEFI NEW YORK	ELLER PLAZA NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/808,401	KOMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John L. Goodrow	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the objected drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/04 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. While the content of a toner's elements would require extensive testing its is not certain if the element sulfur is only part of the sulfur-containing resin or if the ppm is of the total toner and inorganic fine particles. The element selected from the group consisting of magnesium, calcium, barium, zinc, aluminum, and phosphorus when present in

Art Unit: 1756

multiples which of the elements is T? Is the ppm of this element measured with the inorganic fine powder?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al [20020048010] in view of Ohno et al. Inaba et al teaches the toner having good chargeability stable against an environmental change with a sulfur-containing polymer and a stabilizer consisting of magnesium, calcium, barium, zinc, aluminum and phosphorus. Dispersing the monomer, colorant, and charge control agent in an aqueous medium containing a dispersing stabilizer controls the toner shape. The dispersion stabilizer used is attached to dispersed droplets, thereby uniformly stabilizing the dispersed droplets. The toner particles contain 100 to 30,000 ppm by weight of at least one element selected from the group consisting of magnesium, calcium, barium, zinc, aluminum and phosphorus note [0022] and [0048]. A toner containing a sulfur-containing polymer and the specific element has stable chargeability regardless of environmental conditions. The amount of dispersion stabilizer elements is without the measurement of the external additive note [0058]. Flowability-improving agents can be aluminum oxide [0216]. The abrasive additive can be magnesium oxide, calcium sulfate,

Art Unit: 1756

.___

and barium sulfate [0217]. The lubricant may include zinc stearate and calcium stearate [0218]. The average number particle size of the toner is 2-10µm [0232]. It is preferred that the average circularity is 0.950 to 0.995 [02312]. The circularity is further taught in Ohno et al. That by controlling the average circularity within 0.980-0.995 the transferability exhibits improved developing performance for low potential latent images [0055]. The binder resin can contain sulfur note the carbonate precursors [0106] with dispersion stabilizers [0134]. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the parameters of elements and sulfur containing polymers in a composition that are known to aid the chargeability of a toner with a known beneficial circularity and inorganic fine particles that aid the transfer of the developed image.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,401

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

John L Goodroy

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1756
